

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,394	01/24/2001	Franz Haas	WEB-19967	1357
24131 75	590 12/20/2005		EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			WONG, LESLIE A	
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOI	D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1761	
	,			

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

** a			\mathcal{N}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/768,394	HAAS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leslie Wong	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>		
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH		•	
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o			ndonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the folk places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, afted otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of th	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	LED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 November 2005. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repart AMENDMENTS	a)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid o	dismissal of the
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require further c They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel 	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	· ·	educing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			(DTO) 00 ()
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	•	·	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) abjusted.) will not be entered, or b) wi ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ied.

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1761

Leslie wong

13. Other: .

See Continuation Sheet.

11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed invention does not define over the prior art for the reasons of record.